

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,699	02/22/2002	Mitsutoshi Nakamura	15162/04300	1268
24367 7:	590 03/23/2005	02/22/2002 Mitsutoshi Nakamura 15162/04300 1268 03/23/2005 EXAMINER  BROWN & WOOD LLP DOD  ART UNIT PAPER NUM	INER	
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A	
717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75201		2871	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,699	NAKAMURA, MITSUTOSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 D	ecember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3 and 10-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-9</u> is/are rejected.					
	) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b>0</b> □ <del>1-1</del>	4 (DTO 442)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claims

Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 remain pending per Response of December 28, 2004. Claim 1 has been amended per Response of December 28, 2004.

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species A with claims 1, 2, and 4-9 readable thereon in Paper of December 28, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 3 and 10-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 28, 2004.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 (amended), 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-225772A (Aug. 15, 2000)(to Matsuda et al.).

Page 3

As to claim 1 (amended), Matsuda teaches and discloses a reversible recording medium having a cholesteric liquid crystal heat-sensitive recording layer and associated method (Title, entire application). The medium forms 'good and uniform recording images.' (Id.).

The application teaches "forming a white state by raising all or some areas of a heatsensitive recording material to the temperature of isotropic phases" (Abstract)(Applicant's "a
first heating process for heating the liquid crystal in a crystal phase to a first temperature that
allows the liquid crystal to exhibit a cholesteric liquid crystal phase or an isotropic phase to form
an image"), cooling the material for a cholesteric reflective color and then quenching the
material in order to fix the color and then heating it again in order to crystallize part of it
(Id.)(Applicant's "a second heating process for heating at least a part of the recording medium
containing at least a part of an area to a second temperature, wherein said second temperature
allows the area where the image has been formed by the first heating process to discolor or
develop color without external pressure.").

Please note that Matsuda teaches multiple steps of heating, cooling and fixing of images.

As to claim 2, Applicant's method steps being the same as those of Matsuda, it may be presumed that the image is a visible image.

As to claim 4, Matsuda teaches the step of cooling after the first heating as noted.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-225772A (Aug. 15, 2000)(to Matsuda et al.).

As to claims 5-9, Matsuda includes the step of cooling. Matsuda may not appear to explicitly disclose that upon rapid cooling the liquid crystal exhibits a glass phase, a first heating to exhibit a glass phase, and specificities of the first and second temperatures.

However, as previously noted in the prior Office Action and per Applicant's Response to Election of Species in Paper of December 30, 2003, "it is respectfully asserted that one skilled in the art would appreciate that a heating process can include steps of heating and cooling." (Pages 3 and 4)(Remarks, December 30, 2003).

Therefore, in view of Applicant's Remarks and Matsuda, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of liquid crystals and recording media at the time the invention was made to include rapid cooling of the liquid crystal to exhibit a glass phase, a first heating to exhibit a glass phase, and specificities of the first and second temperatures as within the realm of knowledge of one skilled in the art as part of a heating process.

Art Unit: 2871

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (571) 272-2289.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2871

JDG

RUBERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800